

Planning Team Report

٦.

To rezone land - A from R3 to R4 an	Auburn Road, Susan Street, H d B4	lelena Street and Beatri	ce Street, Auburn -			
Proposal Title :	To rezone land - Auburn Roa R3 to R4 and B4	To rezone land - Auburn Road, Susan Street, Helena Street and Beatrice Street, Auburn - from R3 to R4 and B4				
Proposal Summa	nary : To rezone land bounded by Auburn Road, Susan Street, Helena Street and Beatrice St Auburn, from zone: R3 (Medium Density Residential) to partly zone: B4 (Mixed Use) a zone: R4 (High Density Residential).					
PP Number :	PP_2014_AUBUR_001_00	Dop File No :	14/06841			
Proposal Details						
Date Planning Proposal Receive	02-May-2014 d :	LGA covered :	Auburn			
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Auburn Council			
State Electorate :	AUBURN	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal			
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
Location Details						
Street :	74-112 Auburn Road, 15-57 Susan	Street, 4-8 Beatrice Street				
Suburb :	Auburn City :	Auburn	Postcode: 2144			
	Land on eastern side of Auburn Ro Beatrice Street and Helena Street	ad and land on western side	e of Susan Street between			
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Tai Ta					
Contact Number :	0298601567					
Contact Email :	tai.ta@planning.nsw.gov.au					
RPA Contact De	tails					
Contact Name :	Jorge Alvarez					
Contact Number :	0297351222					
Contact Email :	Jorge.Alvarez@planning.nsw.g	Jorge.Alvarez@planning.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Mar	ager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Terry Doran					
Contact Number :	0298601149					
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov	au				
Land Release Da	ta					
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy	: Yes			

MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	750	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	Background The planning proposal seeks to rezone the land fronting Auburn Road (eastern side) from Beatrice Street to Helena Street from R3 Medium Density Residential to B4 Mixed Use, an land fronting Susan Street (west and east sides) from Beatrice Street to Helena Street fro R3 Medium Density Residential to R4 High Density Residential.			
	In 2012 Council submitted a similar planning proposal (now referred to as the previous planning proposal PP_2012_AUBUR_002_00). The land involved in the previous planning proposal was larger in area as it included land on the eastern side of Susan Street. This planning proposal only includes land on the western side of Susan Street and land on the eastern side of Auburn Road, between Helena Street and Beatrice Street.			
	The previous planning proposal was issued with a Gateway determination on 9 October 2012, however on 31 October 2012, Council at its meeting resolved not to proceed with the planning proposal.			
	On 8 February 2013, Council informed the then Planning and Infrastructure agency that it had resolved not to proceed with the planning proposal. By way of letter dated 8 February 2013, the then Director-General of Planning and Infrastructure agreed to discontinue the planning proposal.			
	To the north of land the subject of Centre (area bounded by Beatrice Street). A recent planning proposa and height of buildings in certain p notified as Auburn Local Environm	Street, Park Road, Alice Stree I, having the effect of increasi parts of the Town Centre, was	t, South Parade and Marion ing the floor space ratio finalised and recently	
	The current planning proposal can town centre and is supported in or Auburn Town Centre, to achieve a same time, facilitating the redevelo their economic life. This is seen by redevelopment of an area, in close more efficient use of the land and a	der to enable a rezoning of la more economical and efficien opment of older buildings - wh council as an opportunity for proximity to the town centre	nd in close proximity to It use of land while, at the lich are reaching the end of r a comprehensive - which may result in a	
	Arising from conditions issued in t	he Gateway determination for	the previous planning	

rom R3 to R4 and B	4		
	Transport and Accessibili during the community con- study should be amended made LEP amendment no Receipt Date The planning proposal wa from Council and finalised Lobbyists To the best of the knowled	as received on 1 April 2014. Further information was requested d on 2 May 2014. dge of the Metropolitan Delivery (Parramatta), there have been no	
External Supporting Notes :	meetings or communicati	ons with lobbyists regarding this planning proposal.	
Adequacy Assessme	nt		
Statement of the ol	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :		lanning proposal are to:	
	 provide for the redevelopment of land in the block bounded by Beatrice St, Auburn Rd, Helena St and Susan St, Auburn for mixed use and high density residential development in a location that is highly accessible and has good access to public transport and services, accommodate sub-regional housing and employment targets, enable the creation of an extension of the existing Auburn Road commercial precinct to the south, provide for the development of buildings that achieve design excellence, are safe and accessible and provide a high quality urban form, create a transition between the Auburn Town Centre to the north and the surrounding low / medium density residential areas to the east, south and west of the study area, and ensure the orderly and economic development of land in accordance with its capability. 		
Explanation of prov	visions provided - s55(2	2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The LEP will conform with the Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order 2006. It will identify the two parcels of land to which it relates and alter the Zoning Map currently forming part of Auburn LEP 2010 by rezoning the subject lands. The Minimum Lot Size, Height of Buildings and Floor Space Ratio Maps will also be amended to ensure the controls for the land match those of other B4 Mixed Use and R4 High Density Residential zoned land within the Auburn LGA.		
Justification - s55 ((2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strate	egy been agreed to by the Dir	ector General? No	
b) S.117 directions ide		2.1 Environment Protection Zones	
* May need the Direct	or General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? N/A

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : Note: while the planning proposal seeks to partly remove a zone solely for residential purposes for part of the subject site, it will - overall, increase housing density. For this reason it is considered that the proposal is consistent with S 117 Direction 3.1 Residential Zones, in this regard.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : Considered to be adequate for exhibition purposes.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Subject to a Gateway determination, community consultation will be undertaken by Council in accordance with section 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the requirements of Planning and Infrastructure's Guide to preparing local environmental plans (April 2013).

A comprehensive community consultation engagement strategy will be prepared by Council that would include advertisement in a local newspaper, letter notification to affected land owners and surrounding land owners, advertisement on Council's website and exhibition at Council owned public premises e.g. libraries.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : Comments in

LEP :

The principal Auburn Local Environmental Plan (ALEP 2010) was made on 29 October 2010. relation to Principal This planning proposal seeks to amend the ALEP 2010, and will be known as Auburn Local Environmental Plan 2010 Amendment No.13.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning The planning proposal is the best means of achieving Council's intentions of providing proposal : increased housing choice, as well as supply, and introducing retail uses that will be complementary to the town centre. There is no alternative means to rezone the subject

from R3 to R4 and B4					
	land.				
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the principles for sustainable cities which underpin the Auburn City Community Strategic plan (CSP) 2011.				
	The CSP is a Council initiative designed to respond to the priorities of the Auburn community over the next 10 years which includes amongst other things, housing affordability and quality of development. The CSP was publicly exhibited in March 2011 and formally adopted by Council on 18 May 2011.				
	The planning proposal seeks to implement the CSP by facilitating residential and employment generating development to occur in areas close to, and well served, by public infrastructure (ie. transport, community facilities, schools) and in close proximity to established commercial centres.				
	The planning proposal is also consistent with the West Central Subregion Draft Subregional Strategy (WCSDSS) that currently applies to the Auburn LGA.				
	The Strategy sets targets for the provision of 17,000 new dwellings and 12,000 new jobs within the Auburn LGA by 2031. The planning proposal will enable increased densities to be realised within land designated for employment generating uses (in respect of the land to be zoned B4 Mixed Use). This will potentially enable development to further contribute to the West Central subregion's jobs target (Action A1.1).				
Environmental social economic impacts :	As the subject land is highly urbanised there are no critical habitat or threatened species populations or ecological communities that will be adversely affected by the planning proposal. There are no other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal. All potential impacts may be accommodated through the proposed planning controls. Any development specific impacts will be addressed at the development application stage.				
	As far as social and economic impacts are concerned, the planning proposal is not expected to create any adverse social impacts. The planning proposal may however create positive economic impacts in terms of creating employment opportunities in the area proposed for B4 Mixed Use zoning.				
Assessment Proces	s				
Proposal type :	Precinct	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Energy Australia Fire and Rescue NSW Department of Health NSW Police Force Transport for NSW				

Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services

Sydney Water

6

la Dublia Unavina luci	he DAC required?	No	
Is Public Hearing by t	ne PAC required?	NO	
(2)(a) Should the mat	ter proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons	; ;		
Resubmission - s56(2	?)(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additiona	I studies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reas	ons :		
These studies suppo		ban Design Study and a Traffic, Transport and Accessil II inform any amendments required to Council's Develo ar the proposal.	
	is recommended that p	esign study and the transport study refer to draft Auburn prior to exhibition, Council amends these studies to refl	
recent rezoning of th	e Auburn town centre be amended by Counci	es not take into consideration any relevant impacts asso (i.e. Auburn LEP amendment no. 8). It is therefore recor I, prior to exhibition, to address any accumulative impac	mmended that
		evious planning proposal, a net community benefit test emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve	
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for	g proposal. This test d	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material.	a net community
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material.	a net community
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve th the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. :	a net community
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal co Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons :	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve th the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. :	a net community
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal co Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons :	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve th the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. :	a net community
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required inding of state infrastruc	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required inding of state infrastruc	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study.	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required inding of state infrastruc	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required inding of state infrastruc	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve in the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : cture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType Name Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Study Study Study	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study.	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required inding of state infrastruc	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal or Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf Maps.pdf	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required inding of state infrastruc	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve in the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : Cture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType Name Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Study Study Map	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf Maps.pdf Council report.pdf	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required unding of state infrastruc df pdf	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve in the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : Cture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType Name Proposal Covering Letter Proposal Study Study Map	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure the this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf Maps.pdf Council report.pdf anning Team Recon	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required unding of state infrastruc df pdf mmendation	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve is ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure th this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf Maps.pdf Council report.pdf	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required anding of state infrastruct df pdf mmendation nning proposal supporte 2.1 Environment	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve in the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material.	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure the this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf Maps.pdf Council report.pdf anning Team Recon	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi rms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required unding of state infrastruc df pdf mmendation nning proposal supporte 2.1 Environment 3.1 Residential Zo	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve is ith the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
support that rezoning benefit. To ensure the this proposal and for Identify any internal of Is the provision and fur If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Covering Letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pd Urban Design Study. Traffic Study.pdf Maps.pdf Council report.pdf anning Team Recon	g proposal. This test d is remains the case wi ms part of the exhibiti onsultations, if required unding of state infrastruc df pdf mmendation nning proposal supporte 2.1 Environment 3.1 Residential Zo 3.4 Integrating La	emonstrated that the planning proposal would achieve in the current proposal, it is recommended that the test on material.	a net community t be amended for Is Public Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

	Council has not formally resolved to accept the Minister's plan making powers for this
	particular proposal. However, by way of email, Council requests delegation. The proposal
	is relatively minor and it is recommended that delegation be given to Council to exercise
	the Minister's plan making function in this instance.
	Recommendaton
	The planning proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to consultation:
	1.1 Council amends the transport study [Traffic, Transport and
	Accessibility Study prepared by Hyder Consulting (August 2013)] and the
	urban design study [MG Planning Pty Ltd and Group GSA (August 2012)] to
	reflect that Auburn amendment no.8 has been made;
	1.2 the transport study be amended by Council to address any accumulative
	traffic impacts associated with Auburn LEP amendment no. 8 and this
	proposal;
	1.3 the previous prepared community benefit test be amended by Council for
	this proposal; and
	1.4 these amended studies (and test) form part of the exhibition material.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the
	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made available for 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with notice requirements for
	public exhibition of planning proposals and the spefications for
	material that must be available along with planning proposals.
	2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under
	section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	- NSW Department of Education and Communities;
	- Office of Environment and Heritage;
	- Department of Family and Community Services;
	- Energy Australia;
	- Department of Health;
	- NSW Police Service;
	- Transport for NSW;
	- Sydney Water;
	- Fire and Rescue NSW;
	- Roads and Maritime Service; and,
	- adjoining Local Government Areas
	3. The timeframe for completing the LEP is 9 months from the week following the
	date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The community consultation process is required to inform affected land owners and local
oupporting measure.	residents as well as the local community of the proposed changes in land use and any
	associated environmental, traffic impacts that are likely to occur.
	Consultation with public authorities is necessary to seek feedback and any actions on the
	part of those authorities to respond to increase in demand for utility services, transport
	services and other human services such as educational and health facilities.

Porter and the second se				
Signature:	Aldar			
Printed Name:	-T DORAN	Date:	9/5/14	